

# **Industrial Diversity and Metropolitan Unemployment Rate**

By

# Keizo MIZUNO, Fumitoshi MIZUTANI, and Noriyoshi NAKAYAMA\*

Correspondence: Fumitoshi MIZUTANI Graduate School of Business Administration Kobe University 2-1 Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657 -8501, Japan (Tel) +81-78-803-6905 (Fax) +81-78-803-6977 (E-mail) toshi@rokkodai.kobe-u.ac.jp

September 8, 2003

<sup>\*</sup> Keizo MIZUNO (School of Business Administration, Kwansei Gakuin University); Fumitoshi MIZUTANI (Graduate School of Business Administration, Kobe University); Noriyoshi NAKAYAMA (Faculty of Commerce, The University of Marketing and Distribution Sciences)

# Industrial Diversity and Metropolitan Unemployment Rate\*\*

[Abstract]: The main goal of our study is to evaluate whether or not industrial diversity helps reduce the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area. We used a data set from Japan's 118 metropolitan areas from the year 1995 and determined from our analysis that although industrial diversity might reduce the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area, it is only one of several factors and that other factors might have a stronger impact on unemployment rate. Second, it was found that for both the manufacturing and the construction industry, location quotient has a negative relationship with the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area. We also discovered that the more highly educated a metropolitan population is in terms of the percentage of graduates of institutions of higher learning, the lower will be its unemployment rate.

#### [JEL Classification]: J6, R1, R5

[Key Words]: Unemployment Rate, Industrial Diversity, Frictional Unemployment, Structural Unemployment, Location Quotient, Metropolitan Area

## 1. Introduction

Although it has for years had a lower unemployment rate than other industrialized countries, Japan has begun to see an increase in unemployment since its economy was hit by the recession of the late 90's. The level of a nation's unemployment is commonly seen as a barometer of its economy's health, so that Japan's increased unemployment has worried the government and prompted consideration of several policy options. Although previous studies analyze unemployment rate in general (e.g. Rosen (1984) and Partridge and Rickman (1997)), unemployment rate in Japan remains a topic of much interest because it varies so much by region,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The earlier version of this study was accomplished within the organization Urban Revitalization of Kansai Region in FY2002, sponsored by Nihon Keizai Shinbun. We would like to thank the project members for their discussions and comments on the earlier version. Especially, we would like to thank Hitoshi Akiyama (UFJ Research Institute), Kyoichi Futagami (Osaka University), Takenori Inoki (International Japanese Culture Research Center), Yoshiaki Shikano (Doshisya University) and Michihiko Tachi (Nihon Keizai Shinbun).

with large metropolitan areas such as Tokyo having lower unemployment rates than smaller cities, with the puzzling exception of the nation's second largest city, Osaka, which is suffering from a high unemployment rate. In October 2002, the Kansai region, including the Osaka metropolitan area, recorded an unemployment rate of 7.2%, much higher than the average rate of 5.5%. Theoretically, regional differentials of the unemployment rate are attributed to the friction resulting from adjusting for the mismatch between demand and supply of labor markets among regions. These structural factors consist of the costs of exchanging information, moving, conducting transactions related to housing, and dealing with psychological effects. These components are important, but they do not fully account for regional differentials in unemployment rate. Industrial structure surely affects unemployment rate, and this paper examines the relationship between the two, with the aim of testing the hypothesis that more industrially diversified metropolitan areas have lower unemployment rates.

This paper follows the theoretical justification of Simon (1988), who argues that industrial diversity attains a lower unemployment rate by assuming that the frictional component of employment fluctuations is a random variable and independent across industries. Simon's empirical analysis of 91 large U.S. SMSAs strongly supports the hypothesis. Although Simon's study makes important contributions to the study of the relationship between unemployment rate and industrial diversity, it fails to clarify the structural factors affecting unemployment rate. In the present study, by analyzing 118 metropolitan areas in Japan for the year 1995, we seek to make the relationship more clear.

What we hope to contribute can be stated as follows. While Simon does not clearly outline structural factors, we investigate the relationship between unemployment rate and industrial diversity by considering both frictional and structural factors. Second, we incorporate into our analysis of unemployment the location quotient of industries, a factor which has heretofore not been included in analyses of unemployment rate. Third, as for studies of metropolitan unemployment rate, ours is the first in Japan because there has up to now been no authoritative definition in Japan of a metropolitan area. We thus began our study by defining metropolitan areas and collecting data for each.

#### 2. Unemployment, Industrial Diversity, and Location Quotient

## 2.1 Kinds of Unemployment

Before we present the points of argument concerning the relationships among unemployment rate, industrial diversity, and industry-specific factors, we will summarize kinds of unemployment. Based on labor economics literature (e.g. Ehrenberg and Smith (2003)), unemployment can be categorized into four types: frictional, structural, cyclical and seasonal.

Frictional unemployment occurs even in a full-employment labor market because labor markets are in a natural state of constant change, and because information about job opportunities is limited, so that it often takes considerable time for unemployed workers to locate employers who might be able to offer them suitable work.

Structural unemployment occurs when there is a mismatch between demand for and supply of workers in a given area. If wages were completely flexible and if costs of occupational or geographic mobility were low, market adjustments would eliminate this kind of unemployment, but the fact is that mobility costs between different occupations are generally not low because it requires time and money to change jobs. Geographical mobility is expensive because of the costs of job searches in unfamiliar territory and the concomitant necessary financial transactions such as the sale of a house or other property.

Cyclical unemployment occurs during fluctuations in the business cycle, when a decline in

aggregate demand in the output market causes a decline in demand for labor. Seasonal unemployment, is similar to cyclical unemployment but fluctuations in seasonal unemployment can be regularly anticipated and follow a more systematic pattern over a period of time.

#### 2.2 Industrial Diversity and Location Quotient

There have been studies about the effect of industrial diversity, such as one by Kort (1981), who investigates the relationship between industrial diversity and regional economic instability in U.S. cities. Kort finds that industrial diversity increases economic stability, although diversification is not the sole explanatory factor.

Simon (1988) more directly investigates whether or not industrial diversity reduces unemployment rate, by examining whether differences in frictional unemployment among cities is related to differences in industrial diversity, while assuming that labor mobility between cities is not free. Simon (1988) argues that industrial diversity attains a lower unemployment rate by assuming that the frictional component of employment fluctuations is a random variable and independent across industries. Because fluctuations are uncorrelated across industries, frictional hiring in some industries may coincide with frictional layoffs at others. Unemployed individuals can fill concurrently occurring vacancies.

Although his research is important in the study of the relationship between industrial diversity and unemployment rate, his study also has some weaknesses, in that while he succeeds in separating the frictional factor from the cyclical factor of unemployment, he fails to separate the structural factor. In his study, the effects on unemployment of each city's particular composition of output are based on each city's industry mix. He does not clearly pinpoint what kind of unemployment he means. In fact, the net separation rate, which is defined as the difference between the job separation rate and the job accession rate in the city, is taken as a proxy variable of

industry mix. As the net separation rate is often used as a measure of the degree of employment adjustment by the business cycle, the net separation rate of a given industry could be considered a measure of cyclical unemployment.

The Herfindahl index does not distinguish the components of industrial structure. Even if the index is the same in different metropolitan areas, the meaning could be different. For example, consider two metropolitan areas, A and B, which are identical in the composition of employment, except for two industries. Metropolitan area A has 10% of its employment in the manufacturing industries but 5% in the service industry. On the other hand, metropolitan area B has 5% in the manufacturing industry but 10% in the service industry. In this case, the two metropolitan areas have the same index value but in reality the industrial factor is completely different. In our study, we try to separate the structural factor by using the location quotient of an industry.

Although we define location quotient in the next chapter, we can say now it measures the extent to which a metropolitan area is providing employment in an industry compared with the national average. By evaluating what kinds of industries show a large reading in location quotient, we can delineate the characteristics of structural factors. Furthermore, this measure also includes the cyclical effect.

The meaning of location quotient of an industry can be elucidated by examining two industries: construction and manufacturing. The outstanding general characteristic of the construction industry is that, compared to other industries, it demands a great number of non-skilled laborers who need have no education or specialized training. Therefore, a metropolitan area, for example metropolitan area A, with a higher location quotient in the construction industry, could obtain workers easily at the business upturn in economic activities and could lay off workers easily at the business recession. The construction industry has a relatively strong buffer effect against the business cycle. On the other hand, the fact that most laborers are non-skilled and non-specialized indicates that they are not easily employed by different industries. This is an important factor of the structural unemployment of a metropolitan area.

As another example, the automobile manufacturing industry consists of many kinds of parts industries and is comprised of many vertically arranged companies. Compared with the construction industry, the automobile industry requires skilled workers. In a metropolitan area with a large measure in location quotient, employed workers have more opportunities to change their jobs among manufacturing industries. On the other hand, in a metropolitan area with a lower value in this measure, there are fewer opportunities to get jobs for workers engaged in that industry. It is more costly to reduce structural unemployment. Furthermore, as the manufacturing industries with more competition might be immune to unemployment.

Thus, we consider the effects of both structural and cyclical unemployment by using the industry's location quotient, which reflects both effects.

#### 3. Model

### **3.1 Empirical Model**

In this study, our basic empirical model is specified as follows:

$$U_c = \alpha + \beta H_c + \Sigma_i \eta_i s_{ic} + \gamma \ln C S_c + \mu Z_c + \delta D$$
(1)

Where  $U_c$ : Unemployment rate of metropolitan area

 $H_c$ : Herfindahl index of industry

 $s_{ic}$ : Location quotient of an industry – i

CS<sub>c</sub>: Size of metropolitan area

 $Z_c$ : Percentage of higher education graduates

D: Okinawa dummy (1 if metropolitan area in Okinawa, 0 for others)

First, one important goal is to evaluate whether or not industrial diversification can reduce

unemployment rate. Herfindahl index ( $H_c$ ) is used for this measure. Herfindahl index takes on a maximum of 1 if all employment of a metropolitan area is concentrated in only one industry. On the other hand, the index takes on a minimum of 1/N if employment in an industry is diversified equally among N industries. Therefore, as our hypothesis is that industrial diversity can reduce the unemployment rate, the Herfindahl index should show a positive relationship with the unemployment rate.

Second, the location quotient for industry - i ( $s_{ic}$ ) mainly represents the factor of structural unemployment. The location quotient is defined as the percentage of total employment in a metropolitan area engaged in a particular industry divided by the corresponding percentage for the whole country (e.g. see McDonald, 1997). Therefore, if this variable of a particular industry is more than one, then the metropolitan area is considered as having this industry stronger or more specialized than in other areas. The Harfindarl index does not distinguish the differences among industries, even if the index is the same among metropolitan areas. But by including these variables, we can see the structural factor on unemployment. Furthermore, these variables also show the differences of the effects of the business cycle. If a metropolitan area specializes in the more employing industry, then the area's unemployment rate is lower. The expected sign of most industries is negative but some industries might show a positive relationship with the unemployment rate.

Third, as for the size of a metropolitan area, the larger city has more jobs so that the employment opportunities increase. However, at the same time it is necessary to consider that the demand for jobs also increase. According to Vipond (1974), as the larger cities have wider wage dispersion, job seekers have incentives to prolong the job search. As a result, if other conditions are held constant, larger metropolitan areas have larger unemployment rates. Furthermore, larger cities might have more programs for unemployed workers so that the larger cities attract more

unemployed workers. For these reasons, there is a positive relationship between this variable and the unemployment rate.

Fourth, an individual who has higher education tends to protect a job against unemployment pressures, compared with a less educated individual. A more educated individual could be more productive and skillful so that he/she should be prone to unemployment if other conditions hold the same.

Finally, in this model the Okinawa dummy is included because the unemployment rate in the metropolitan areas in Okinawa prefectures cannot be explained only by the variables mentioned above. The Okinawa dummy is expected to be positive. Table 1 summarizes the overall expected sign of coefficients for regression analysis.

Herfindahl index $(H_c)$	Location quotient for industry $(s_{ic})$	Size of metropolitan area	Percentage of higher education	Okinawa dummy (D)
	•	$(CS_c)$	graduates ( $Z_c$ )	
+	- / +	+	-	+

 Table 1
 Expected Sign of Coefficients for Regression Analysis

### 3.2 Relationship between Herfindahl Index and Location Quotient

An important characteristic of our study is that the location quotient for industry – i at a metropolitan area ( $s_{ic}$ ) is included for the explanation of the difference of unemployment rate of a metropolitan area. The location quotient shows how concentrated a given industry of a metropolitan area is compared with the standard of whole country. As Herfindahl Index of the metropolitan area also shows the degree of diversification in the industry, someone might think that there is a linear relationship between these variables. In the empirical analysis, if there is a linear relationship between explanatory variables, the multicollinearity problem might arise. Therefore, we will show that there is no linear relationship between these variables.

If we take the case that there are N industries, Herfindahl index of a metropolitan area is defined as follows:

$$H_{C} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{iC}^{2}$$
(2)
Where
$$w_{iC} \equiv \frac{L_{iC}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{iC}}$$

 $L_{ic}$ : Numbers of employment in industry – i

On the other hand, the location quotient is defined as follows:

$$s_{iC} = \frac{\left(L_{iC} \middle/ \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{iC}\right)}{\left(L_{i} \middle/ \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}\right)} = \frac{W_{iC}}{\left(L_{i} \middle/ \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}\right)}$$
(3)

Where  $L_i$ : Total number of employment in industry – i in whole country

Therefore, from the equation-(2) and (3), we can obtain the following relationship.

$$H_{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{iC}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( s_{iC} \frac{L_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}} \right)^{2} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_{i} \right)^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( s_{iC} L_{i} \right)^{2}$$
(4)

From equation-(4), we can see that there is no linear relationship between the Herfindahl index and the location quotient of industry -i. Therefore, the multicollinearity problem would not occur between these variables.

# 4. Empirical Analysis

# 4.1 Definition of Metropolitan Areas

All variables used in this study are summarized based on metropolitan areas. Therefore, the observations used here, from which we estimate the unemployment rate function, are a data set of 118 metropolitan areas for the fiscal year 1995. A metropolitan area used here is defined as an

employment area by Kanemoto and Tokuoka (2001). Although in the U.S., statistical metropolitan areas are defined by the government, in Japan there is no authoritative definition of a metropolitan area so we follow the definition found in Kanemoto and Tokuoka's study.

Furthermore, the main reason we have used a cross-section data set rather than a time series data set for our analysis is that we wish to focus on regional differences in the unemployment rate. In Japan, variations in unemployment rate according to year are smaller than variations by region, causing us to consider a cross-section analysis of unemployment rate more appropriate.

## 4.2 Definition of Variables

The variables used in this study are defined as follows and the statistical information for the variables in summarized in Table 2. First, unemployment rate of a metropolitan area  $(U_c)$  is obtained by dividing the total number of unemployed workers by the total number in the labor force in a metropolitan area. Both total numbers of unemployed workers and total numbers of labor force are obtained from *the Population Census of Japan* by the Statistics Bureau, Management and Coordination Agency from 1995. These numbers are reported on a municipality basis so that we use the sum of these numbers for a metropolitan area.

As for a variable expressing industrial diversity, the Herfindahl  $(H_c)$  index is used. As shown in equation-(2), the Herfindahl index is defined as the sum of squares of each industry's numbers to total numbers of all industries. In this study, we calculated the Herfindahl index based on the numbers of employees for ten industries, which are (1) agriculture, forest and fishing industry, (2) mining industry, (3) construction industry, (4) manufacturing industry, (5) public utilities (electric power, gas, water supply and heat supply) industry, (6) transport and telecommunication industry, (7) wholesale and retail industry, (8) banking and insurance industry, (9) real estate industry, and (10) service industry. Therefore, we calculate the index based on ten industries. The data source of numbers of employees for ten industries is *the Establishment and Enterprise Census* by the Statistics Bureau, Management and Coordination Agency. As the Establishment and Enterprise Census was not reported in 1995, we estimate it by using two time periods, 1991 and 1997.

As for a variable of metropolitan size, we choose daytime population of a metropolitan area. Because daytime population shows more than a nighttime population the attractiveness of the economic activities of a metropolitan area, we use it to show the size of a metropolitan area. The data source for this variable is *The Population Census of Japan* by the Statistics Bureau, Management and Coordination Agency in 1995. In this study, we take a natural logarithm of this variable.

The location quotient of ten industries is used here to reflect the regional differences in competitiveness by industries. As shown in equation-(3), the location quotient is defined as a ratio of the given industry's percentage in a metropolitan area to the given industry's percentage in the whole country. In this study, we calculate the location quotient of each industry by the numbers of employees. The data source of the employees is *The Establishment and Enterprise Census*. First, we calculated the location quotient for all ten industries. But finally six industries such as (1) construction, (2) manufacturing, (3) public utilities, (4) transport and telecommunication, (5) wholesale and retails, and (6) real estate, are used for the analysis by considering the multicollinearity problem.

In order to distinguish the quality of workers, we define a variable of the percentage of higher education graduates. Higher education means junior colleges, technical colleges, universities, and graduate schools of universities. The percentage of the higher education graduates is defined by dividing population with higher education graduates by the total population of over 15-year-olds in a metropolitan area. This data is only available in *2000 Population Census of Japan* by the Statistics Bureau, Management and Coordination Agency. Therefore, we use it by

assuming that the percentage is not much different from that of 1995. We assume that the number for the central city of each metropolitan area represents the number for the metropolitan area.

Finally, we use the Okinawa dummy variable in this study. In this analysis, there are three metropolitan areas from Okinawa prefecture. The unemployment rate of metropolitan areas in Okinawa is much higher than that in metropolitan areas on the Japanese main islands. Okinawa is far away from main islands of Japan and there are many specific conditions. Therefore, we use the Okinawa dummy to reflect uncontrolled factors on the unemployment rate.

Variables	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Unemployment Rate	4.105	1.380	2.181	13.077
Herfindahl Index	0.235	0.024	0.197	0.334
Log of Daytime Population	12.837	0.961	11.084	17.253
Location Quotient of Construction	1.144	0.241	0.609	1.810
Location Quotient of Manufacturing	1.126	0.462	0.268	2.435
Location Quotient of Public Utitities	1.076	0.433	0.389	3.475
Location Quotient of Transport & Telecommunication	0.916	0.210	0.311	1.879
Location Quotient of Wholesale & Retails	0.939	0.113	0.630	1.237
Location Quotient of Real Estate	0.619	0.252	0.233	1.593
Percentage of Higher Education Graduates	24.097	5.133	12.500	38.400
Okinawa Dummy	0.169	0.130	0.000	1.000

Table 2 Statistics of Used Variables

### 4.3 Empirical Results

We apply the regression for the unemployment rate function shown in equation-(1). The estimation method is the OLS method and a summary of estimation results is shown in Table 3. As the table shows, estimation results seem acceptable: adjusted R-squares are rather high, between 0.675 and 0.732 and coefficients of explanatory variables show the correct sign. Furthermore, the assumption of homoscedasticity of the variance of disturbance term is not rejected, based on the Koenkar test (see Koenkar (1981)). Although the Breusch-Pagan test is more commonly used, we used the Koenkar test because the Breusch-Pagan test is quite sensitive to the assumption of

normality and the Koenkar test is a more robust test (see for example, Greene (2000, p.510). In summary, therefore, we decided to use these regression results to evaluate the unemployment rate and its factors.

	Regression 1	Regression 2	Regression 3	Regression 4	Regression 5
Herfindahl Index	6.559	6.495	6.611	5.022	12.742***
	(4.618)	(4.589)	(4.372)	(4.340)	(4.362)
Log of Daytime	0.329***	0.325***	0.328***	0.352***	0.446***
Population	(0.117)	(0.115)	(0.098)	(0.098)	(0.104)
Location Quotient of	-2.006***	-1.937***	-1.940***	-2.016***	
Construction	(0.539)	(0.446)	(0.431)	(0.434)	
Location Quotient of	-1.528***	-1.423***	-1.424***	-1.572***	-1.121***
Manufacturing	(0.534)	(0.271)	(0.238)	(0.228)	(0.224)
Location Quotient of	-0.024	-0.018			. ,
Public Utilities	(0.173)	(0.107)	-	-	-
Location Quotient of	0.716*	0.733*	0.733*		
Transport &	(0.411)	(0.402)	(0.388)	-	-
Telecommunication	· · · · ·	(0.402)	(0.388)		
Location Quotient of	-0.374	_	_	_	_
Wholesale & Retailes	(1.636)				
Location Quotient of	0.010	0.010	_	_	_
Real Estate	(0.447)	(0.445)	-	-	-
Percentage of Higher	-0.103***	-0.103***	-0.103***	-0.107***	-0.088***
Education Graduates	(0.019)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.019)
Okinawa Dummy	6.557***	6.542***	6.541***	6.492***	6.403***
	(0.612)	(0.606)	(0.606)	(0.593)	(0.644)
Constant	4.457	3.934*	3.864*	4.955**	-1.449
	(3.136)	(2.140)	(1.990)	(1.926)	(1.464)
Adjusted R-squares	0.725	0.727	0.732	0.726	0.675
Koenkar Test	11.354	11.034	7.594	5.564	3.081
(Note):					

Table 3 Estimation Results: Coefficients and Standard Errors

(Note):

(1) Numbers in parenthesis are standard errors.

(2) These are statistically significant at 1% (\*\*\*), 5% (\*\*) and 10% (\*).

Regression 1 represents a case using all explanatory variables. Because the coefficient of the Herfindahl index shows the positive sign, industrial diversity has a negative relationship with the unemployment rate. That is, the more diversified the industries in a metropolitan area, the lower the unemployment rate. This result is very similar to that in previous studies (see for example, Attran (1986)). However, the value of the variable is not statistically significant so that in Japan it is not as strong a factor as in the U.S.

On the other hand, the location quotient of some industries has a statistically significant effect on the unemployment rate. This means that individual industrial structure affects the degree of unemployment. The most significant industries are construction and manufacturing. The coefficients of these variables show the negative sign so that the metropolitan areas which have more employment in these industries have lower unemployment rates.

The construction and manufacturing industries are generally considered to have job security. First, manufacturing, an example of which is the automobile industry, has a wide range of manufacturing and provides many kinds of job opportunities to its workers. As a result, metropolitan areas with strong manufacturing industries have low unemployment rates. The construction industry absorbs many redundant workers during recession. Therefore, metropolitan areas with strong construction industries have lower unemployment rates.

As the location quotient of the wholesale and retail industry is strongly correlated with the location quotient of the manufacturing industry (i.e. the correlation coefficient is –0.858), we apply the regression again excluding the location quotient of the wholesale and retail industry (see Regression 2). As seen in the result, estimated coefficients are not much different from those in Regression 1.

Third, we apply the regression again excluding both the location quotient of the public utilities and the location quotient of the real estate industry, which are not statistically significant in Regression 1. Again, this result (Regression 3) does not change much either.

However, if we exclude the location quotient of the transport and telecommunication industries (Regression 4), then the coefficient of the Herfindahl index becomes slightly smaller and the coefficients of the location quotients of both construction and manufacturing industries become larger negatively.

Finally, if we exclude the location quotient of the construction industry, the coefficient of

the Herfindahl index becomes larger and has statistical significance. As the correlation coefficient between these variables is not large (i.e. -0.620), we cannot find a clear multicollinearlity problem. Although we need more detailed investigation, we think so far that the location quotient of the construction industry, which shows the specific structure of the construction industry itself, is an important factor in explaining the difference in unemployment rate.

### 5. Conclusion

The main goal of our study is to evaluate whether or not industrial diversity helps to reduce the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area. We also investigate to what extent industry-specific factors affect the unemployment rate. The data set for this study is Japan's 118 metropolitan areas for the year 1995. From our analysis, our conclusion is summarized as follows:

First, industrial diversity might reduce the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area in Japan. This result certainly supports Simon's (1981) argument. However, industrial diversity is not as strong factor as others: the industrial diversity factor as measured by the Herfindahl index is not statistically significant in our analysis.

Second, according to the location quotient of each industry, the manufacturing industry has a negative relationship with the unemployment rate of a metropolitan area. In general, the manufacturing industry characteristically has vertical business connections among manufacturing companies and furthermore, the industry is widely dispersed so that job security is strong. In fact, another study by Mizuno (1992) shows that a higher ratio of manufacturing sectors to the service sectors lowers the unemployment rate.

Third, the location quotient of the construction industry also has a negative relationship with the unemployment rate. Because the public works projects have often been used for the employment promotion policy in Japan, the construction industry is considered as a buffer against unemployment.

Last, as for other findings, the higher the percentage of highly educated people there are in a population, the lower its unemployment rate will be. In our analysis, an increase in the ratio of higher education by 10% contributes to a decrease in the unemployment rate by 1%. And the larger cities have higher unemployment rates.

# [2003.9.8 660]

#### References

- Attaran, M. (1986) "Industrial Diversity and Economic Performance in U. S. Areas," *The Annals of Regional Science*, Vol.20, pp.44-54
- Barkume, A. (1981) "Differentiating Employment Prospects by Industry and Returns to Job Search in Metropolitan Areas," *Journal of Urban Economics*, Vol.12, pp.68-84
- Brewer, H. L. (1984) "Regional Economic Stabilization: An Efficient Diversification Approach," *Review of Regional Studies*, Vol.14, pp.8-21
- Ehrenberg, R. G. and R. S. Smith (2003) *Modern Labor Economics: Theory and Public Policy*, Eighth Edition, Addison Wesley, Boston, MA
- Greene, W. H. (2000) Econometric Analysis, Fourth Edition, Pretice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ
- Kanemoto, Y. and K. Tokuoka (2001) "Metropolitan Area Definitions in Japan (Nihon no Toshiken Settei Kijun)," CSIS Discussion Paper, No.37, The University of Tokyo (in Japanese)
- Koenker, R. "A Note on Studentizing a Test for Heteroscedasticity," *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol.17, pp.107-112
- Kort, J. (1981) "Regional Economic Instability and Industrial Diversification in the U.S.," Land Economics, Vol.57, No.4, pp.596-608

McDonald, J. F. (1997) *Fundamentals of Urban Economics*, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ Mizuno, A. (1992) *Unemployment Behavior in Japan (Nihon no Shitsugyo Kodo)*, Chuo University Press, Tokyo (in Japanese)

- Partridge, M. D. and D. S. Rickman (1997) "State Unemployment Differentials: Equilibrium Factors vs. Differential Employment Growth," *Growth and Change*, Vol.28, No.3, pp.360-379
- Rosen, R. J. (1984) "Regional Variations in Employment and Unemployment During 1970-82," Monthly Labor Review, Vol.85, No. 2, pp.38-45
- Simon, C. (1988) "Frictional Unemployment and the Role of Industrial Diversity," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol.103, No.4, pp.715-728

Vipond, J. (1974) "City Size and Unemployment," Urban Studies, Vol.11, pp.39-46

# **Discussion** Paper

No.	Author	Title		Date
2002 · 1	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Cross Holding of Shares, Unwinding Cross Holding of		
		Shares, and Managerial Entrenchment	(in Japanese)	1 / 2002
2002 • 2	Nobuyuki Isagawa	A Theory of Stock Price Behavior following Repurchase Announcements	(in Japanese)	1 / 2002
2002 · 3	Mahito Okura	An Equilibrium Analysis of the Insurance Market with Vertical Differentiation		2 / 2002
2002 • 4	Elmer Sterken Ichiro Tokutsu	What are the determinants of the number of bank relations of Japanese firms?		3 / 2002
2002 · 5	Mahito Okura	Review Article : Adverse Selection in Insurance Market	(in Japanese)	3 / 2002
2002 · 6	Mahito Okura	Welfare Effect of Firm Size in Insurance Market		3 / 2002
2002 • 7	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Does Investment Horison Affect Investment Strategy ?	(in Japanese)	3 / 2002
2002 • 8	Koji Okubayashi Toshinori Takashina	Research Report of Careers and Employment Process of Workers over 60 years old :a Case of a Japnaese Manufacturing Company	(in Japnaese)	4 / 2002
2002 • 9	Hajime Shimizu	On the Existence of Tax Equilibrium : Incomplete Market with Capital Income Tax	(in Japnaese)	4 / 2002
2002 • 10	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Cost of Financial Distress and Debt Restructuring : Debt Forgiveness and Equity-for-Debt Swap	(in Japnaese)	4 / 2002
2002•11	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Open-Market Repurchase Announcements, Actual Repurchases, and Stock Price Behavior in Inefficient Markets		5 / 2002
2002•12	Kenji Kutsuna Richard Smith	revised version of No.2001•36 Why Does Book Building Drive Out Auction Methods of IPO Issuance?		5 / 2002
		Evidence and Implications from Japan		
2002 · 13	Kunio Miyashita	International Logistics and Modal Choice		6 / 2002
2002 • 14	Hajime Shimizu	Incomplete markets with capital income tax and public goods	(in Japnaese)	6 / 2002
2002 • 15	Hajime Shimizu	On the possibility of Pareto improving of tax-equilibrium	(in Japnaese)	6 / 2002
2002 • 16	Koji Okubayashi	China-Japan Comparison of Work Organization		7 / 2002
2002 • 17	Fumitoshi Mizutani Shuji Uranishi	The Post Office vs. Parcel Delivery Companies: Competition Effects on Costs and Productivity revised version of No.2001•33		7 / 2002
2002 • 18	Kazuhisa Otogawa	Earnings Forecast and Earnings Management of Japanese Initial Public Offerings Firms		8 / 2002
2002 • 19	Atsuo Takenaka	The Classification of Foreign R&D Units	(in Japanese)	8 / 2002
2002 · 20	Tsuneo Nakano	The Dutch East India Company and its Corporate	(in Japanese)	8 / 2002
2002 20		Governance revised version of No.2000• 40		07 2002
2002 • 21	Tsuneo Nakano	The British East India Company and its Corporate Governance	(in Japanese)	8 / 2002
2002 • 22	Fumitoshi Mizutani Shuji Uranishi	Privatization Effects on TFP Growth and Capital Adjustments		8 / 2002
2002 • 23	Atsushi Takao	Some Notes on the Privatization of Postal Life Insurance	(in Japanese)	9 / 2002
2002 23	Mahito Okura	in Japan	(in supurese)	<i>) 1</i> 2002
2002 • 24	Fumitoshi Mizutani	Privately Owned Railways' Cost Function, Organization Size and Ownership		9 / 2002
2002 • 25	Fumitoshi Mizutani Takuya Urakami	A Private-Public Comaprison of Bus Service Operators		9 / 2002
2002 • 26	Yasuyuki Miyahara	Principal-Multiagent Relationships with Costly Monitoring		10 / 2002
2002 • 27	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Unwinding of Cross Shareholding under Managerial Entrenchment		10 / 2002
2002 • 28	Mitsutoshi Hirano	Contingency Model for Personnel Grading System Issues on Design and Management for Qualification System and Job Grading System	(in Japanese)	11 / 2002

# **Discussion** Paper

No.	Author	Title		Date
2002 • 29	Atsushi Takao	On the "Emergency Effect " of the late FUKUZAWA,	(in Japanese)	11 / 2002
		Yukichi in Introducing of Modern Insurance into Japan	· • ·	
2002 • 30	Yasuhiro Shimizu	Amortization of Intangible Assets before Newark	(in Japanese)	11 / 2002
2002 • 31	Hideki Murakami	Morning Ledger An Economic Analysis of Duopolistic Competition		11 / 2002
2002 • 31		between Gulliver and Dwarf airlines:		117 2002
		The case of Japanese Domestic Air Markets		
2002 • 32	Atsushi Takao	Simulation Model to Consider the Penetration of Modern	(in Japanese)	12 / 2002
		Insurance in Japan - Confirmation of Emergence and		
		Phase Transition of New Japanese Risk-Bearing System by Evolutional Economics		
2002 · 33	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Mutual Shareholding and Unwinding of Mutual		12 / 2002
		Shareholding as Stockpile for Business Recovery		
$2002 \cdot 34$	Takuji Hara	TLOs in Japan in the life science area : present and future	(in Japanese)	12 / 2002
$2003 \cdot 1$	Katsuhiko Kokubu	Environmental Accounting for Corporate Management	(in Japanese)	1 / 2003
2003 • 2	Kazuhiro Tanaka	Embeddedness and Entrenchment of Managers	(in Japanese)	2 / 2003
2003 · 3	Fumitoshi Mizutani Shuji Uranishi	The Effects of Privatization on TFP Growth and Capital Adjustments		2/2003
2003 • 4	Yang Jia Yin	Labour Turnover of Japan-Affiliated Companies in	(in Japanese)	3 / 2003
2005 1	Koji Okubayashi	Shanghai	()	57 2005
2003 · 5	Mitsutoshi Hirano	Generation and Resolution of Asymmetric Information in	(in Japanese)	3 / 2003
		Human Resource Management –In the Cases of Job		
2003 · 6	Kazuhisa Otogawa	Rotation in Two Retailers in Japan- Market Liquidity around Quarterly Earnings		3 / 2003
2005 0	Ruzullisu Otoguwu	Announcements		57 2005
2003 • 7	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Lender's Risk Incentive and Borrower's Risk Incentive	(in Japanese)	3 / 2003
	Tadayasu Yamashita			
$2003 \cdot 8$	Kenji Kutsuna Marc Cowling	Determinants of Small Business Loan Approval:		3 / 2003
	Wate Cowing	Evidence from Japanese Survey after 1997 Financial Crisis		
2003 • 9	Noriko Masumura	Voluntary Corporate Disclosure and the Cost of Debt:	(in Japanese)	4 / 2003
		The Case of Quarterly Reporting	-	
2003 · 10	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Corporate Financial Strategy and Stock Price Behavior		4 / 2003
2003 · 11	Katsuhiko Okada Mitsutoshi Hirano	in a Noise Trader Model with Limited Arbitrage Two Modes of Economic Organization in the Duality	(in Japanese)	5/2003
2003 11	Wittbuttosini Tinuno	Principle and Asymmetric Personal Information	(in supuriese)	57 2005
2003 · 12	Kenji Kutsuna	Why Does Book Building Drive Out Auction Methods of		5 / 2003
	Richard Smith	IPO Issuance? Evidence from Japan (Revised version)		
2003 • 13	Kenji Kutsuna Janet Kiholm Smith	Banking Relationships and Access to Equity Capital Markets:		5 / 2003
	Richard L. Smith	Evidence from Japan's Main Bank System		
2003 · 14	Hideya Kubo	The Present Condition and Problem of Japanese	(in Japanese)	6 / 2003
		Corporate Pension Systems		
2003 · 15	Hideki Murakami	An empirical analysis of the spillover and dynamic	(in Japanese)	7 / 2003
		effects of low-cost airline's presence on rival's price: US duopoly case		
2003 • 16	Norio Kambayashi	Japanese Style of Management Education	(in Japanese)	7 / 2003
2003 · 17	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Unwinding of Cross Shareholding for Business	(in Japanese)	7 / 2003
		Restructuring		
2003 • 18	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Unwinding of Cross Shareholding: A Signalling	(in Japanese)	7 / 2003
2003 • 19	Huang Lin	Approach Strategies and Store Development of Carregour in the	(in Japanese)	8 / 2003
2003 • 19	Liume Din	Chinese Continent	(in supuriese)	07 2003
2003 • 20	Koji Okubayashi	Employment Situation and Measures for		8 / 2003
		Increasing Employment Opportunities;		
2002 - 21	Nohuuuki Isaaama	The Case of Japan Lender's Risk Incentive and Debt Forgiveness		0 / 2002
$2003 \cdot 21$	Nobuyuki Isagawa	Lender 5 Kisk incentive and Debt Forgivelless		8 / 2003

# **Discussion** Paper

No. Author 2003 • 22 Keizo Mizuno Fumitoshi Mizutani Noriyoshi Nakayama

Title Industrial Diversity and Metropolitan Unemployment Rate Date 9 / 2003